DOCUMENTATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL INDICATOR DETERMINATION

Interim Final 2/5/99

RCRA Corrective Action

Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA725) Current Human Exposures Under Control

Facility Name: American Color and Chemical, L.L.C.

Facility Address: Mount Vernon Street, Lock Haven, PA 17745

Facility EPA ID #: PAD 00 304 7792

1. Has **all** available relevant/significant information on known and reasonably suspected releases to soil, groundwater, surface water/sediments, and air, subject to RCRA Corrective Action (e.g., from Solid Waste Management Units (SWMU), Regulated Units (RU), and Areas of Concern (AOC)), been **considered** in this EI determination?

X	If yes - check here and continue with #2 below.
	If no - re-evaluate existing data, or
	If data are not available skip to #6 and enter "IN" (more information needed) status code

BACKGROUND

Definition of Environmental Indicators (for the RCRA Corrective Action)

Environmental Indicators (EI) are measures being used by the RCRA Corrective Action program to go beyond programmatic activity measures (e.g., reports received and approved, etc.) to track changes in the quality of the environment. The two EI developed to-date indicate the quality of the environment in relation to current human exposures to contamination and the migration of contaminated groundwater. An EI for non-human (ecological) receptors is intended to be developed in the future.

Definition of "Current Human Exposures Under Control" EI

A positive "Current Human Exposures Under Control" EI determination ("YE" status code) indicates that there are no "unacceptable" human exposures to "contamination" (i.e., contaminants in concentrations in excess of appropriate risk-based levels) that can be reasonably expected under current land- and groundwater-use conditions (for all "contamination" subject to RCRA corrective action at or from the identified facility (i.e., site-wide)).

Relationship of EI to Final Remedies

While Final remedies remain the long-term objective of the RCRA Corrective Action program the EI are near-term objectives which are currently being used as Program measures for the Government Performance and Results Act of 1993, GPRA). The "Current Human Exposures Under Control" EI are for reasonably expected human exposures under current land- and groundwater-use conditions ONLY, and do not consider potential future land- or groundwater-use conditions or ecological receptors. The RCRA Corrective Action program's overall mission to protect human health and the environment requires that Final remedies address these issues (i.e., potential future human exposure scenarios, future land and groundwater uses, and ecological receptors).

Duration / Applicability of EI Determinations

EI Determinations status codes should remain in RCRIS national database ONLY as long as they remain true (i.e., RCRIS status codes must be changed when the regulatory authorities become aware of contrary information).

2. Are groundwater, soil, surface water, sediments, or air **media** known or reasonably suspected to be "contaminated" above appropriately protective risk-based "levels" (applicable promulgated standards, as well as other appropriate standards, guidelines, guidance, or criteria) from releases subject to RCRA Corrective Action (from SWMUs, RUs or AOCs)?

		Yes	<u>No</u>	<u>?</u>	Rationale / Key Contaminants		
Groundwater		_X_			See Below		
Air (indoors) ²			_X_		All buildings have been removed		
Surface Soil (e.g., <2 ft)			_X_		remediated under PADEP closure plan		
Surface Water			_X_		See Below		
Sediment			_X_		See Below		
Subsurf. Soil (e.g	., >2 ft)		_X		remediated under PADEP closure plan		
Air (outdoors)			_X_				
	appropriate "levels," and referencing sufficient supporting documentation demonstrating that these "levels" are not exceeded.						
	If yes (for any media) - continue after identifying key contaminants in each "contaminated" medium, citing appropriate "levels" (or provide an explanation for the determination that the medium could pose an unacceptable risk), and referencing supporting documentation.						
	If unkno	own (for	any med	dia) - skij	p to #6 and enter "IN" status code.		

Rationale and Reference(s): This facility is closed and all the buildings have been removed.

ACC investigated the property under an EPA Consent Order (September 5, 1991) and began remediation of the soil, surface impoundments, and groundwater under a second EPA Consent Order (March 27, 1997). PADEP oversaw the remediation of the soil and surface impoundments under a PADEP closure plan. A full description of the results of the soil and surface impoundment remediation program is located in numerous reports in the EPA Region 3 file room.

Most of the soils were sampled as part of the surface impoundment investigations under the PADEP closure plan. For the surface impoundments, ACC was required to sample, clean out, appropriately dispose of the material from, and regrade the surface impoundments. Hazardous material was disposed of off-site and clean material was returned to the cleaned out impoundments which were then capped, graded, and grasses planted on top. PADEP approved remediation of the surface impoundments on March 27, 2002.

The rest of the soils were sampled as part of the Phase 2 RCRA Facility Investigation which ACC conducted from late December 2000 through January 2001. This investigation included the soils under and around the former factory buildings and the waste water treatment plant in the middle of the property. During this investigation ACC did not find soil contamination in need of remediation. EPA approved the Phase 2 report after revisions in August, 2002.

The only remaining contamination is in the groundwater which contains chemicals such as dichlorobenzene and nitroaniline. According to the Final RFI report (May 20, 1994) drinking water for the area around ACC comes from a municipal water supply. Therefore, the public is not exposed to contamination from the site.

ACC and EPA are in the process of upgrading the groundwater pump and treat system to treat and contain the contaminated groundwater.

Footnotes:

¹ "Contamination" and "contaminated" describes media containing contaminants (in any form, NAPL and/or dissolved, vapors, or solids, that are subject to RCRA) in concentrations in excess of appropriately protective risk-based "levels" (for the media, that identify risks within the acceptable risk range).

²Recent evidence (from the Colorado Dept. of Public Health and Environment, and others) suggest that unacceptable indoor air concentrations are more common in structures above groundwater with volatile contaminants than previously believed. This is a rapidly developing field and reviewers are encouraged to look to the latest guidance for the appropriate methods and scale of demonstration necessary to be reasonably certain that indoor air (in structures located above (and adjacent to) groundwater with volatile contaminants) does not present unacceptable risks.

3. Are there **complete pathways** between "contamination" and human receptors such that exposures can be reasonably expected under the current (land- and groundwater-use) conditions? **NO**

Summary Exposure Pathway Evaluation Table

Potential **Human Receptors** (Under Current Conditions)

"Contaminated" Media	Residents	Workers	Day-Care	Construction	Trespassers	Recreation	$Food^3 \\$
Groundwater							
Air (indoors)							
Soil (surface, e.g., <2 ft)							
Surface Water							
Sediment							
Soil (subsurface e.g., >2 ft)							
Air (outdoors)							

Instructions for **Summary Exposure Pathway Evaluation Table**:

- 1. Strike-out specific Media including Human Receptors' spaces for Media which are not "contaminated") as identified in #2 above.
- 2. enter "yes" or "no" for potential "completeness" under each "Contaminated" Media -- Human Receptor combination (Pathway).

Note: In order to focus the evaluation to the most probable combinations some potential "Contaminated" Media - Human Receptor combinations (Pathways) do not have check spaces ("___"). While these combinations may not be probable in most situations they may be possible in some settings and should be added as necessary.

X	If no (pathways are not complete for any contaminated media-receptor combination) - skip
	to #6, and enter "YE" status code, after explaining and/or referencing condition(s) in-
	place, whether natural or man-made, preventing a complete exposure pathway from each
	contaminated medium (e.g., use optional Pathway Evaluation Work Sheet to analyze
	major pathways).
	If yes (pathways are complete for any "Contaminated" Media - Human Receptor
	combination) - continue after providing supporting explanation.
	If unknown (for any "Contaminated" Media - Human Receptor combination) - skip to #6
	and enter "IN" status code

Rationale and Reference(s): No one drinks the groundwater in the vicinity of the facility. Local drinking water is supplied by a municipal water supply.

³ Indirect Pathway/Receptor (e.g., vegetables, fruits, crops, meat and dairy products, fish, shellfish, etc.)

4.	"significant" 4 (i greater in magnit acceptable "level (perhaps even the	es from any of the complete pathways identified in #3 be reasonably expected to be i.e., potentially "unacceptable" because exposures can be reasonably expected to be: 1) and (intensity, frequency and/or duration) than assumed in the derivation of the is" (used to identify the "contamination"); or 2) the combination of exposure magnitude ough low) and contaminant concentrations (which may be substantially above the is") could result in greater than acceptable risks)?
		If no (exposures can not be reasonably expected to be significant (i.e., potentially "unacceptable") for any complete exposure pathway) - skip to #6 and enter "YE" status code after explaining and/or referencing documentation justifying why the exposures (from each of the complete pathways) to "contamination" (identified in #3) are not expected to be "significant."
		If yes (exposures could be reasonably expected to be "significant" (i.e., potentially "unacceptable") for any complete exposure pathway) - continue after providing a description (of each potentially "unacceptable" exposure pathway) and explaining and/or referencing documentation justifying why the exposures (from each of the remaining complete pathways) to "contamination" (identified in #3) are not expected to be "significant."
		If unknown (for any complete pathway) - skip to #6 and enter "IN" status code
	Rationale and Re	ference(s):

⁴ If there is any question on whether the identified exposures are "significant" (i.e., potentially "unacceptable") consult a human health Risk Assessment specialist with appropriate education, training and experience.

	If yes (all "significant" exposures have been shown to be within acceptable limits) - continue and enter "YE" after summarizing <u>and</u> referencing documentation justifying why all "significant" exposures to "contamination" are within acceptable limits (e.g., a site-specific Human Health Risk Assessment).
	If no (there are current exposures that can be reasonably expected to be "unacceptable")-continue and enter "NO" status code after providing a description of each potentially "unacceptable" exposure.
	If unknown (for any potentially "unacceptable" exposure) - continue and enter "IN" status code

- 6. Check the appropriate RCRIS status codes for the Current Human Exposures Under Control EI event code (CA725), and obtain Supervisor (or appropriate Manager) signature and date on the EI determination below (and attach appropriate supporting documentation as well as a map of the facility):
 - X YE Yes, "Current Human Exposures Under Control" has been verified. Based on a review of the information contained in this EI Determination, "Current Human Exposures" are expected to be "Under Control" at the American Color and Chemical, L.L.C. facility, EPA ID # PAD 00 304 7792, located at Mount Vernon Street, Lock Haven, PA 17745 under current and reasonably expected conditions. This determination will be reevaluated when the Agency/State becomes aware of significant changes at the facility.

NO - "Current Human Exposures" are NOT "Under Control."

____ IN - More information is needed to make a determination.

Completed by (signature) Date <u>08-16-02</u>

Renee Gelblat

Remedial Project Manager

Supervisor (signature) Date <u>08-16-02</u>

Paul Gotthold

PA Operations Branch Chief

EPA, Region 3

Locations where References may be found:

Facility RCRA Project File EPA, Region 3 1650 Arch Street Philadelphia, PA 19103-2029

Contact telephone and e-mail numbers:

(name) Renee Gelblat (phone #) 215-814-3421

(e-mail) gelblat.renee@epa.gov